

## COMMUNITARIANISM AND ITS MANY TENTACLES

Communitarianism as a philosophy began in the late 20th century, opposing aspects of liberalism and capitalism while advocating phenomena such as civil society. Not necessarily hostile to liberalism in the contemporary American sense of the word, communitarianism shifts the focus of interest toward communities and societies and away from the individual. Communitarians believe that the value of community is not sufficiently recognized in liberal theories of justice. The question of priority (individual or community) often has the largest impact in the most pressing ethical questions: health care, abortion, multiculturalism, hate speech, and so on. The term is primarily used in two senses:

1) Philosophical Communitarianism considers classical liberalism to be ontologically and epistemologically incoherent, and opposes it on those grounds. Unlike classical liberalism, which construes communities as originating from the voluntary acts of pre-community individuals, it emphasizes the role of the community in defining and shaping individuals.

2) Ideological Communitarianism is an ideology that emphasises the rights of the majority to make decisions affecting the minority. Generally marked by leftism on economic issues and conservatism on social issues, it is the direct opposite of libertarianism.

A possible third use is the term Responsive Communitarianism as practiced by Amitai Etzioni of the Israeli kibbutz movement, which sometimes positions itself as the radical middle between traditional Right and Left by simultaneously affirming communal rights and individual responsibilities. The sticky place in the middle wholly dependant on the Hegelian Dialectic, whereas the One (Thesis) is pitted against the Other (Antithesis) to be hashed out and reduced into selected parts making the Third Way (Synthesis). The resulting outcome of this dialectic is inevitably the Synthesis being opposed by yet another Antithesis, reduced to yet another Synthesis and so on and so on. You can imagine where this goes.... Its literally endless conflict that allows for the Hegelian Dialectic to support the philosophy of Responsive Communitarianism. It is wholly and totally dependent on conflict and crisis to exist.

On the American political spectrum, communitarians of the first sort tend to be far to the left on social and economic issues. They reject liberalism, which they see as being too conservative, as well as Marxism, which they believe makes some of the same [[Individualism|individualistic] assumptions as liberalism. Issues of specific interest are the responsibilities that complement rights and the active creation of

norms in everyday life

Communitarians and liberals have no persistent differences on matters of democracy, although communitarians are less likely to view democracy as an institution set up to achieve individual desires through collective means. Where the two differ on policy issues, communitarians tend to be further to the left than classical liberals (although this is less true of liberals in the contemporary U.S. sense of the word). For example, whereas liberalism traditionally sees issues such as crime and homelessness as individual problems, communitarians view them as social issues and back welfare programs and prison reform.

#### HEGELIAN DIALECTIC:

What exactly is the Hegelian Dialectic? Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel was a 19th century German philosopher who devised a particular dialectic, or, method of argument for resolving disagreements. His method of arriving at the truth by the exchange of logical arguments is a system of thought process still in use to this day.

To put it simply, the basis of Hegelianism dictates that the human mind can't understand anything unless it can be split into two polar opposites. Good / Evil, Right / Wrong, Left / Right.

For example when people are talking about 2 political parties, Labor or Liberal, Republican or Democrat, what they're actually referring to, without realising it, is the **thesis** and the **antithesis** based off the Hegelian Dialectic. The only real debate that occurs is just the minor differences between those two parties. Nothing is said or done about the issues that neither left or right is discussing.

Another form of the Hegelian Dialectic is **Problem – Reaction – Solution**. Most of us unwittingly fall victim to it all too often and sadly if we don't stop, we will continue to lose our free will and liberties. It has been widely used by our governments and corporations around the world. You could say that in terms of controlling the masses, and society in general, it's deployment has been an effective tool in keeping humanity in check.

Almost all major events in history employ the Hegelian Dialectic of:

**Problem** – manufacture a crisis or take advantage of one already in place in order to get the desired **Reaction** of public outcry whereby the public demands a **Solution** which has been predetermined from the beginning.

Problem-Reaction-Solution = Thesis-Antithesis-Synthesis

In order to avoid falling victim to the Hegelian Dialectic from now on you **must**

remember the process involved. Anytime a major problem or issue arises in society think about who will gain or profit from it. Then remove yourself from the equation and take a step back to look at it from a third party perspective. See the so-called "problem", look at who is reacting, why and in what way. Then look for who is offering up the solution.

When you do this from now on you'll quickly see the real truth instead of the false truth they wanted you to see.

#### THE TRAP:

We are talking of a dialectical trap here, with Corporate money funding Socialism as Thesis, ideological Socialism (or Ideological Capitalism) as Antithesis and Communitarianism as the Synthesis. Money grabs and concentrates power, whilst State Socialism promises the total redistribution of ownership and wealth. This contradiction provides an almost irresistible dynamic. Think about all the promises of Free college and free healthcare we hear all the time.

Communitarians want to create a post-modern, post-democratic feudal society run by a small number of rich and powerful people with everyone else working as peasants. In order to achieve their objectives they must destroy the middle class and the nation state. Can anyone deny that their goals are firmly on course.

Culture: The subversion of national culture, including literature, art, music and the undermining of institutions and traditions, church, family and morality was a prerequisite to establishing the Communitarian Supranational State. Only by severing the people's roots to these aspects of national life could the conspiratorial goals be realised. Organ transplant surgeons encounter a problem called `rejection`, whereby the organ receiver's body, programmed by nature, rejects the new organ because it simply does not belong. Similarly, before a population can be expected to tolerate any new system of control, means must be found to reduce said populace to a condition of `apathetic servitude`.

Since the end of WWII, the people of the industrialized world have been, through various means, reduced to exactly that state. This has been achieved via a diabolical program of culture distortion, using subversive genre's of popular music, ever more degrading and perverse forms of pornography, theatre and cinema themes which distort reality and show an inverted and corrupted ideal of all that is natural, moral and good, together with the encouragement of decadence in every possible form.

Put another way, the substitution of a people's own indigenous culture with forms of `entertainment` contrived to instill confusion on a mass scale, and paralyze the

**collective** will at source. This undermining and subversion of the culture is openly promoted and encouraged by the media whores of the New World, with the most degraded, drug addicted so called `artists` being touted as role models for our youth.

The Communitarians understand that their only weapon against Nationalism or Individualism is Marxist theory, and that in itself marshals all the forces of the underworld along with the spellbound academics using them as a Trojan horse against any or all nationalist, individualist or free market targets.

#### KILLING THE INDIVIDUAL:

I'm talking about the individual, about Self, beyond any construct, beyond citizenship, beyond membership, beyond sociology or anthropology or archeology.

This psyop depends on the repetition of words like: unity, love, caring, community, family. And phrases like "we're all in this together."

The individual is characterized as: lone, outsider, selfish,greedy, inhumane, petty. Turn him into an exile, excommunicated from the great body of humanity.

Here, in the usual prose, is a familiar formulation of the grand psyop: "We can no longer afford the luxury of thinking of ourselves as individuals. The stakes are too high. Finally, we must all come together and realize our presence on this planet is a shared experience. The decimation of our resources, through hatred and divisive behavior, the denial of love and community, the cold greed and excessive profit-making, the whole range of social and political injustices—all this can ultimately be laid at the door of the individual who refuses to join the rest of humanity..."

Is this manifesto valid? It's a deception, BECAUSE it's aimed at making the individual extinct.

#### POPULATION CONTROL:

Most people distracted by the media, their careers or materialism have absolutely no idea, that a very dark philosophy is spreading like wildfire among the global elite. This philosophy is an obsessive belief that humanity has become a cancer that is destroying the earth. There are now large numbers of global leaders that are convinced that the exploding population of the world has become like a virus or a plague, and that it must be combated as such. In fact, it would be very difficult to understate just how obsessed many members of the global elite are with population control.

The United Nations, agenda 21 puts out position papers about it, universities have entire courses dedicated to it, radical population control advocates have been appointed to some of the highest political positions in the world, and some of the wealthiest people on the planet get together just to talk about it. Those who believe in this philosophy are constantly talking about the need for "increased access" to abortion, contraception and other "family planning" services. But even with all of their efforts, the population of the world is still expanding and those who believe in this population control philosophy are getting nervous.

So just who are these people among the global elite who believe so fervently in population control?

Many of them are some of the biggest names in the world. Many among the global elite believe that the growing world population is the number one problem facing the world. Many of them are absolutely convinced that overpopulation is the primary cause of "climate change", is ruining our environment, and threatens to turn the entire globe into one gigantic third world slum.

Of course all of that is nonsense, but this is what they actually believe, and the scary thing is that most of them are in positions of power and influence where they can actually do quite a bit to advance their insidious agenda.

#### GLOBALIST ELITE QUOTES OF POPULATION CONTROL:

#1) The March 2009 U.N. Population Division policy brief  
<http://thetruthwins.com/archives/the-population-control-agenda-behind-the-global-warming-movement-for-the-environmental-extremists-at-copenhagen-population-reduction-is-the-cheapest-way-to-reduce-carbon-emissions>

"What would it take to accelerate fertility decline in the least developed countries?"

#2) Microsoft's Bill Gates....

"The world today has 6.8 billion people. That's heading up to about nine billion. Now if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services, we could lower that by perhaps 10 or 15 percent."

#3) Barack Obama's top science advisor, John P. Holdren....

"A program of sterilizing women after their second or third child, despite the relatively greater difficulty of the operation than vasectomy, might be easier to

implement than trying to sterilize men.

The development of a long-term sterilizing capsule that could be implanted under the skin and removed when pregnancy is desired opens additional possibilities for coercive fertility control. The capsule could be implanted at puberty and might be removable, with official permission, for a limited number of births."

#4) George W. Bush's science advisor Paul Ehrlich....

"Each person we add now disproportionately impacts on the environment and life-support systems of the planet."

#5) U.S. Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg....

"Frankly I had thought that at the time Roe was decided, there was concern about population growth and particularly growth in populations that we don't want to have too many of."

#6) A United Nations Population Fund report entitled "Facing a Changing World: Women, Population and Climate"....

"No human is genuinely 'carbon neutral,' especially when all greenhouse gases are figured into the equation."

#7) David Rockefeller....

"The negative impact of population growth on all of our planetary ecosystems is becoming appallingly evident."

#8) Jacques Cousteau....

"In order to stabilize world population, we must eliminate 350,000 people per day."

#9) CNN Founder Ted Turner....

"A total population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal."

#10) Dave Foreman, Earth First Co-Founder....

"My three main goals would be to reduce human population to about 100 million worldwide, destroy the industrial infrastructure and see wilderness, with it's full complement of species, returning throughout the world."

#11) Prince Phillip, the Duke of Edinburgh....

"If I were reincarnated I would wish to be returned to earth as a killer virus to lower human population levels."

#12) David Brower, first Executive Director of the Sierra Club....

"Childbearing [should be] a punishable crime against society, unless the parents hold a government license ... All potential parents [should be] required to use contraceptive chemicals, the government issuing antidotes to citizens chosen for childbearing."

#13) Planned Parenthood Founder Margaret Sanger....

"The most merciful thing that a family does to one of its infant members is to kill it."

#14) Planned Parenthood Founder Margaret Sanger. *Woman, Morality, and Birth Control*. New York: New York Publishing Company, 1922. Page 12....

"Birth control must lead ultimately to a cleaner race."

#15) Princeton philosopher Peter Singer....

"So why don't we make ourselves the last generation on earth? If we would all agree to have ourselves sterilized then no sacrifices would be required — we could party our way into extinction!"

#16) Thomas Ferguson, former official in the U.S. State Department Office of Population Affairs....

"There is a single theme behind all our work—we must reduce population levels. Either governments do it our way, through nice clean methods, or they will get the kinds of mess that we have in El Salvador, or in Iran or in Beirut. Population is a political problem. Once population is out of control, it requires authoritarian government, even fascism, to reduce it...."

#17) Mikhail Gorbachev....

"We must speak more clearly about sexuality, contraception, about abortion, about values that control population, because the ecological crisis, in short, is the population crisis. Cut the population by 90% and there aren't enough people left to

do a great deal of ecological damage."

#18) John Guillebaud, professor of family planning at University College London....

"The effect on the planet of having one child less is an order of magnitude greater than all these other things we might do, such as switching off lights. An extra child is the equivalent of a lot of flights across the planet."

#19) Professor of Biology at the University of Texas at Austin Eric R. Pianka....

"This planet might be able to support perhaps as many as half a billion people who could live a sustainable life in relative comfort. Human populations must be greatly diminished, and as quickly as possible to limit further environmental damage."

#20) U.S. Secretary Of State Hillary Clinton....

"This year, the United States renewed funding of reproductive healthcare through the United Nations Population Fund, and more funding is on the way. The U.S. Congress recently appropriated more than \$648 million in foreign assistance to family planning and reproductive health programs worldwide. That's the largest allocation in more than a decade – since we last had a Democratic president, I might add."

#21) The first of the "new 10 commandments" on the Georgia Guidestones....

"Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature."

## AGENDA 21/2030

Agenda 21 is a program run by the United Nations (UN) related to sustainable development and was the planet's first summit to discuss global warming related issues. It is a comprehensive blueprint of action to be taken globally, nationally and locally by organizations of the UN, governments, and major groups in every area in which humans directly affect the environment, but its all lies, its just a way of locally keeping an eye on people and a way of infiltrating every aspect of the world, then reporting it back to the UN

There are 40 chapters in the Agenda 21, divided into four main sections.

### Section I: Social and Economic Dimensions

Includes combating poverty, changing consumption patterns, population and demographic dynamics, promoting health, promoting sustainable settlement

patterns and integrating environment and development into decision-making.

**Section II: Conservation and Management of Resources for Development**  
Includes atmospheric protection, combating deforestation, protecting fragile environments, conservation of biological diversity (biodiversity), and control of pollution.

**Section III: Strengthening the Role of Major Groups**  
Includes the roles of children and youth, women, NGOs, local authorities, business and workers.

**Section IV: Means of Implementation**  
Implementation includes science, technology transfer, education, international institutions and mechanisms and financial mechanisms.

A social engineering bill to restrict residence in the suburbs and rural areas and force Americans into city centers has passed the United States Senate Banking Committee and is on the fast track to passage in the Senate.

The bill is called the Livable Communities Act SB 1619 and it was introduced by corruptocrat outgoing Senator Christopher Dodd (D-Conn.). It seeks to fulfill the United Nation's plan Agenda 21, adopted at the Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro in 1992 and signed onto by "New World Order" President George H.W. Bush.

This bill is designed to destroy your community. According to the non-profit American Policy Center the bill:

Is a blueprint for the transformation of our society into total Federal control.

Will enforce Federal Sustainable Development zoning and control of local communities.

Will create a massive new "development" bureaucracy.

Will drive up the cost of energy to heat and cool your home.

Will drive up the cost of gasoline as a way to get you out of your car.

Will force you to spend thousands of dollars on your home in order to comply.

## THE BANKING SYSTEM:

How much do you know about the banking system and who prints the money you carry in your pocket? Considering the vital role money plays both in our individual lives and in the world, our educational system teaches us amazingly little about how money is created, how banks operate, and what causes the huge banking scandals and bankruptcies that have occurred. After reading the information below, you will understand why this information is kept quiet and why we feel it is important to reveal these major banking cover-ups. The world's wealthiest bankers guard their secrets very closely.

## The Fractional Reserve System: Creating Money Out of Thin Air

Another aspect of banking about which most people know little to nothing is the fractional reserve system. Fractional-reserve banking refers to the common banking practice of issuing more money than the bank holds as reserves. Banks in modern economies typically loan their customers many times the sum of the cash reserves that they hold." Did you know that for every dollar in your checking or savings account, the bank can legally loan out \$10 or more?

Here's a description of the origins of fraction reserve banking from a standard university macroeconomics text [1]:

"When the ancients began to use gold in making transactions, it became apparent that it was both unsafe and inconvenient for consumers and merchants to carry gold and have it weighed and assessed for purity every time a transaction was negotiated. It therefore became commonplace to deposit one's gold with goldsmiths whose vaults or strongrooms could be used for a fee. Upon receiving a gold deposit, the goldsmith issued a receipt to the depositor. Soon goods were traded for the goldsmiths' receipts and the receipts became the first kind of paper money.

"At this point the goldsmiths – embryonic bankers – used a 100% reserve system; their circulating paper money receipts were fully backed by gold. But, given the public's acceptance of the goldsmiths' receipts as paper money, the goldsmiths became aware that the gold they stored was rarely redeemed. Then some adroit banker hit on the idea that paper money could be issued in excess of the amount of gold held. Goldsmiths [then began to issue] additional 'receipts' ... into circulation by making interest-earning loans in the form of gold receipts. It was the rothchild family who took the monopoly right from the early days, This was the beginning of the fractional reserve system of banking."

The college text from which the above quote is taken does not question the propriety of goldsmiths creating these new "receipts" or money without any gold backing, without any authority, and indeed without any real reason to do so other than to enrich themselves. In fact, the text even praises the questionable behavior of the one who began this hidden form of corruption as "adroit."

The unsuspecting public had no idea that goldsmiths were issuing paper receipts accepted as money which were backed by no gold deposits at all for ten times or more the amount of gold that had been entrusted to them. The goldsmiths were secretly creating money out of thin air. They thus made themselves fantastically wealthy without anyone noticing what was going on. In order to better hide this

deceit and divert people's attention, the goldsmiths stopped their old practice of charging for storing gold and instead began to pay customers a small interest on their gold deposits to keep them happy. Thus it was that modern day bankers were born.

Amazingly, the system has changed little today. Macroeconomics professors, college texts, and all involved with banking almost never question the ethics or morality of this fractional reserve system. No one even questions in any meaningful way the ethics and corruption involved in creating money out of thin air. In fact, the fractional reserve system was formalized into law centuries ago and continues to be both legal and the accepted common practice around the world today.

Have you ever wondered how banks can afford to own those massive buildings downtown if they are only charging 15% or so on loans and paying 5% in interest on deposits? If bankers were not allowed to create money out of thin air, they would be making only 10% or so a year on every loan they issued, far from enough to build the towering skyscrapers owned by banks in practically every major city. But by creating credit (money) using the fractional reserve system, bankers can legally claim credit to 10 times or more the amount of any loan. Now you can understand the foundation upon which global banking empires are built.

As this system has been used for centuries by every country in the world, it clearly works to maintain a relatively stable economic order. We are not in the least advocating a dramatic change of this system. We do, however, feel that suppressing and otherwise hiding this key information is a massive deception which does not serve the public and only serves to allow the bankers to easily become excessively powerful and corruption. War, famine, murders, crimes, competitiveness, patriotism is built in to this system, and politicians keep this system going, and we elect these people, the media keeps you in line, conditioned and they perpetuate and only show you what they want you to see, You can help to inform others of what is going on by educating yourself with videos and spreading the word on the banking and financial cover-up.

#### ECONOMIC SLAVERY:

To tax (from the Latin *taxo*; "I estimate", which in turn is from *tangō*; "I touch") is to impose a financial charge or other levy upon a taxpayer (an individual or legal entity) by a state or the functional equivalent of a state such that failure to pay is punishable by law. Taxes are also imposed by many subnational entities. Taxes consist of direct tax or indirect tax, and may be paid in money or as its labour equivalent (often but not always unpaid labour). A tax may be defined as a "pecuniary burden laid upon individuals or property owners to support the

government [...] a payment exacted by legislative authority." A tax "is not a voluntary payment or donation, but an enforced contribution, exacted pursuant to legislative authority" and is "any contribution imposed by government whether under the name of toll, tribute, tallage, gabel, impost, duty, custom, excise, subsidy, aid, supply, or other name."

The legal definition and the economic definition of taxes differ in that economists do not consider many transfers to governments to be taxes. For example, some transfers to the public sector are comparable to prices. Examples include tuition at public universities and fees for utilities provided by local governments.

Governments also obtain resources by creating money (e.g., printing bills and minting coins), through voluntary gifts (e.g., contributions to public universities and museums), by imposing penalties (e.g., traffic fines), by borrowing, and by confiscating wealth. From the view of economists, a tax is a non-penal, yet compulsory transfer of resources from the private to the public sector levied on a basis of predetermined criteria and without reference to specific benefit received.

In modern taxation systems, taxes are levied in money, but in-kind and corvée taxation are characteristic of traditional or pre-capitalist states and their functional equivalents. The method of taxation and the government expenditure of taxes raised is often highly debated in politics and economics. Tax collection is performed by a government agency such as Canada Revenue Agency, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) in the United States, or Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (HMRC) in the UK. When taxes are not fully paid, civil penalties (such as fines or forfeiture) or criminal penalties (such as incarceration) may be imposed on the non-paying entity or individual.

We are brought up with the knowledge that in order to eat and put a roof over you're head, you have to work, but when you work you have to pay taxes, which goes towards paying for our countries budget, public services and so many other aspects, this deception is a lie it goes to pay of the debt in which the country has borrowed money from a centralized bank in order for the money to be created

#### SOCIAL CONDITIONING:

Social conditioning refers to the sociological process of training individuals in a society to act or respond in a manner generally approved by the society in general and peer groups within society. The concept is stronger than that of socialization, which refers to the process of inheriting norms, customs and ideologies.

Manifestations of social conditioning are vast, but they are generally categorized as social patterns and social structures including education, entertainment, popular culture, and family life.

The social structure in which an individual finds him or herself influences and can determine their social actions and responses.

Social conditioning represents the environment and personal experience in the nature vs. nurture debate. Society in general and peer groups within society set the norms which shape the behavior of actors within the social system.

Social conditioning is sort of like an invisible hand that guides our motions, always present yet often going unnoticed. From what we eat to the way we style our hair and what clothes we pick out for the day, social conditioning has its hands buried heavily in our affairs and most of us don't even realize it.

While it would be nice to just wish it away, for most people their entire character is built around social conditioning. They lack the ability (and the backbone) to stand on their own two feet, and require society to guide them towards what they think is best instead of making those choices on their own.

One of the biggest pitfalls to social conditioning is peer pressure and those that follow it. Other people who have already succumbed to social conditioning will generally try and pressure others into thinking and acting in a manner that's similar to them.

In other words, uniqueness is thrown out the window and following "what everyone else is doing" sort of becomes the norm. Smoking, taking drugs, drinking to excess or out of boredom, consuming materials for the sake of simply having them, etc... If you don't follow other people and what they are doing, people will leave you out and avoid conversations with you as you're "not cool"

THE MEDIA CREATES THIS :

### **The commercial media**

Broadcasting is the distribution of audio and video signals (programs) to a number of recipients ("listeners" or "viewers") that belong to a large group. This group may be the public in general, or a relatively large audience within the public. Thus, an Internet channel may distribute text or music worldwide, while a public address system in (for example) a workplace may broadcast very limited ad hoc soundbites to a small population within its range. The sequencing of content in a broadcast is called a schedule. Television and radio programs are distributed through radio broadcasting or cable, often both simultaneously. By coding signals and having decoding equipment in homes, the latter also enables subscription-based channels and pay-per-view services.

A broadcasting organization may broadcast several programs at the same time,

through several channels (frequencies), for example BBC One and Two. On the other hand, two or more organizations may share a channel and each use it during a fixed part of the day. Digital radio and digital television may also transmit multiplexed programming, with several channels compressed into one ensemble. When broadcasting is done via the Internet the term webcasting is often used. Broadcasting forms a very large segment of the mass media. Broadcasting to a very narrow range of audience is called narrowcasting. The media has brainwashed people into believing what THEY want you to believe. Whether it be politics, what to buy, or what to wear - the media effects everything you do whether you are aware or not. Even in schools they have you watch some sort of news program about 15-20 minutes long every morning informing you of news around the world.

### **The Alternative media**

The alternative media is a place for people who see through the deception of the mass media and want some truth full facts and news stories. Note their frequent use of the words "Zionism" (an obfuscation for masonic Judaism), "The Rothschild's" (who are Knights of Malta controlled by the Vatican!), "The Illuminati", "The Elite", and "The Bankers". Some of the less sophisticated disinfo agents simply keep repeating: "Its the Jews! Its the dirty Jews!". None of them will explain and elaborate on the Roman (Catholic) pogroms and later genocides.

They partner with and/or promote other disinfo agents and frequently criticize other researchers, without specific details such as: personal names, sources, links, in-depth counter-arguments.

These Jesuit-Masonic temporal coadjutors are the deception/distortion/distraction speakers with a hidden agenda. They are helpers of fascistic mafia networks, to ultimately be able to control the flow of information on all sides. Jesuit-Masonic coadjutors are puppets who may tell lots of truth to build a confidence level ("rapport") among the audience. However they don't expose all the known truths and are afraid of anyone else confronting them about this - they will avoid any real debate! So ignore their work or, when needed, scrutinize it very carefully and confront them of-guard with respectful, clear and factual points for discussion. Let them expose themselves, so others can see their ignorance, fear and dishonesty for themselves.

They tend to polarize 'discussions' towards just two (flawed) viewpoints, while there are often several more viewpoints that could be taken into account. The reason for this is that three or more viewpoints would create more complex discussion dynamics, which would make the discussion control much more difficult. By setting up a weak opposition and then ridiculing it, it becomes easier to diminish the public effect of messages coming from more truthful speakers.

Watch some of these controlled 'alternative' media reporters being interviewed, 'debated' and at times even theatrically ridiculed on the mass media networks (television, radio, newspapers, MSM websites).

Personal traits to look for: mental and emotional instability, tense face, fearful/restless eyes, tiredness, cultural poverty, repetitive and simplistic reasoning, egoistic/messianic rambling, shouting/cursing, fear mongering, always at the front of all the protests.

#### THE UNITED NATIONS:

The United Nations Organization (UNO) or simply United Nations (UN) is an international organization whose stated aims are facilitating cooperation in international law, international security, economic development, social progress, human rights, and achievement of world peace. The UN was founded in 1945 after World War II to replace the League of Nations, to stop wars between countries, and to provide a platform for dialogue. It contains multiple subsidiary organizations to carry out its missions.

There are currently 192 member states, including nearly every sovereign state in the world. From its offices around the world, the UN and its specialized agencies decide on substantive and administrative issues in regular meetings held throughout the year.

The organization has six principal organs: the General Assembly (the main deliberative assembly); the Security Council (for deciding certain resolutions for peace and security); the Economic and Social Council (for assisting in promoting international economic and social cooperation and development); the Secretariat (for providing studies, information, and facilities needed by the UN); the International Court of Justice (the primary judicial organ); and the United Nations Trusteeship Council (which is currently inactive).

Other prominent UN System agencies include the World Health Organization (WHO), the World Food Programme (WFP) and United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). The UN's most visible public figure is the Secretary-General, currently Ban Ki-moon of South Korea, who attained the post in 2007. The organization is financed from assessed and voluntary contributions from its member states, and has six official languages: Arabic, Chinese (Mandarin), English, French, Russian and Spanish.

The UN had its chance to play an important role in what is now known as the global war on terrorism. But the UN has proven on many occasions to be all talk and no action. At least no effective, positive, productive action. Sternly worded

resolutions have no effect on heavily-armed dictators.

As a sovereign nation, the U.S. does not need to ask permission or get a consensus from any other country or countries before defending itself; however, when time permits, most other countries expect to hear some justification when Country A bombs Country B.

Lately the UN is famous for only one thing, and that is the Oil-for-Food scandal. As more information is revealed about the scandal, it is beginning to appear that the UN is merely a conference of corrupt officials from corrupt little countries all over the world. If that's the case, the UN cannot possibly accomplish anything that benefits everyone in the long run.

The UN is dangerous because its ultimate goal is a single world-wide socialist government.

What do all these big conferences have in common? In each case, the UN hoped to use the "global warming" scam as a mechanism to install world government and the transfer of wealth from rich nations to poor ones.

#### NWO GRADUALISM:

Conspiracy theorists, truthers, freemen, anarchists, generally speculate that the New World Order is being implemented gradually, citing the formation of the U.S. Federal Reserve System in 1913; the League of Nations in 1919; the International Monetary Fund in 1944; the United Nations in 1945; the World Bank in 1945; the World Health Organization in 1948; the European Union and the euro currency in 1993; the World Trade Organization in 1998; South American Union 2000 and the African Union in 2002, codex 2009 as major milestones, you could even go back further into history and find its been an even longer gradual process.

An increasingly popular conspiracy theory among American right-wing populists is that the hypothetical North American Union and the amero currency, proposed by the Council on Foreign Relations and its counterparts in Mexico and Canada, will be the next implementation of the New World Order. The theory holds that a group of shadowy and mostly nameless international elites are planning to replace the federal government of the United States with a transnational government.

Therefore, conspiracy theorists believe the borders between Mexico, Canada and the United States are in the process of being erased, covertly, by a group of globalists whose ultimate goal is to replace national governments in Washington, D.C., Ottawa and Mexico City with a European-style political union and a bloated E.U.-style bureaucracy.

Skeptics argue that the North American Union exists only as a proposal contained in one of a thousand academic and/or policy papers published each year that advocate all manner of idealistic but ultimately unrealistic approaches to social, economic and political problems. Most of these get passed around in their own circles and eventually filed away and forgotten by junior staffers in congressional offices. Some of these papers, however, become touchstones for the conspiracy-minded and form the basis of all kinds of unfounded xenophobic fears especially during times of economic anxiety. Major movers and shakers of Gradualism are the illuminati, the bilderberg group and the fabian society who have transformed the face, ideas and how politics is actually run

The Fabians believed that social reform could be achieved by a new political approach of gradual and patient argument, 'permeating' their ideas into the circles of those with power: 'the inevitability of gradualism' was an early slogan

CIVILIZATION AND CULTURE ARE BASED ON EGO.

EGO IS THE IDEA OF SEPARATION FROM THE WHOLE.

EGO, AMBITION AND THE VICIOUS CIRCLE OF SOCIAL CONDITIONING:

- \*REPRESSION
- \*DENIAL
- \*PROJECTION
- \*OBSESSION
- \*PERVERSION
- \*ADDICTION

WE RATIONALIZE THIS AND REFER TO FREUD WHEN HE SAYS

"SOMETIMES A CIGAR IS JUST A CIGAR."

